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Pilot Licences and Ratings—General

General

Civil Aviation Authority advisory circulars contain guidance and information about standards, practices, and procedures that the Director has found to be an acceptable means of compliance with the associated rules and legislation.

However, the information in the advisory circular does not replace the requirement for participants to comply with their obligations under the Civil Aviation Rules, the Civil Aviation Act 1999 and other legislation.

An advisory circular reflects the Director’s view on the rules and legislation. It expresses CAA policy on the relevant matter. It is not intended to be definitive. Consideration will be given to other methods of compliance that may be presented to the Director. When new standards, practices, or procedures are found to be acceptable they will be added to the appropriate advisory circular. Should there be any inconsistency between this information and the rules or legislation, the rules and legislation take precedence.

An advisory circular may also include guidance material generally, including guidance on best practice as well as guidance to facilitate compliance with the rule requirements. However guidance material must not be regarded as an acceptable means of compliance.

An advisory circular may also include technical information that is relevant to the rule standards or requirements.

Purpose

This advisory circular provides guidance on general requirements related to pilot licences and ratings, to assist applicant(s), to meet the requirements of Civil Aviation Rule Part 61 Pilot Licences and Ratings.

Related Rules

This advisory circular relates to Civil Aviation Rule Part 61 Pilot Licences and Ratings – specifically Subpart A.

Change Notice

Subject to “Memorandum for Technical Cooperation” between the CAA of Mongolia and New Zealand on mutual cooperation in implementation of Assembly Resolution A29-3: Global Rule Harmonization, 29th ICAO Assembly, 1992, which urges States to promote
global harmonization of national rules, dated 6th of May, 1999, Mongolian Civil Aviation Safety Regulation has been reconciled to the Civil Aviation Regulation of New Zealand.

Amendment 164 of Annex 1 to the Chicago Convention on International Civil Aviation urges flight crew members, ATC personnel and aircraft maintenance engineers to comply with the language proficiency requirements; and

Under Article 14 of the Civil Aviation Law of Mongolia 1999, “Use of foreign language in civil aviation” the AC has been released in English version only, in order to prevent any mistranslation and misuse of the aviation safety related documents.
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**Rule 61.5** Requirement for Pilot Licence and Ratings

**Validation Permit**
Part 61 contains a provision (rule 61.9) detailing the issue of a validation permit by the Director. Information on validation of a foreign pilot licence is available from the CAA website at [http://www.mcaa.gov.mn](http://www.mcaa.gov.mn) - “Pilots”.

**Recognition of an Overseas Flight Crew Licence and Rating**
Information on recognition of overseas flight crew licences and ratings is available from the CAA website at [http://www.mcaa.gov.mn](http://www.mcaa.gov.mn) - “Pilots”.

**Operation of Mongolian Registered Aircraft Overseas**
Licensing requirements differ from country to country therefore pilots must ensure they comply with the pilot licensing requirements of the country they are operating in (as applicable) and the relevant Mongolian Civil Aviation Rules as appropriate [see rule 61.5(b)].

**Operation of Foreign Registered Aircraft in Mongolia**
Pilots operating foreign registered aircraft must ensure they are aware of the licensing requirements of the country of registry of the aircraft that they are operating. While Mongolian rules allow foreign registered aircraft to operate in Mongolia under certain circumstances [see rule 61.5 (c)], these rules do not override the authority of the country of registry in respect of those aircraft.

**Category A Flight Instructor—Aircraft Type Ratings**
Rule 61.5(o) refers to a Category A instructor holding “an aircraft type rating for a single engine aeroplane of similar configuration.” The rule does not define what configuration is similar, however the type of single engine configurations that are likely to apply include—

- Single engine, fixed pitch, fixed tricycle undercarriage, land aeroplanes.
- Single engine, fixed pitch, retractable tricycle undercarriage, land aeroplanes.
- Single engine, constant speed, fixed tricycle undercarriage, land aeroplanes.
- Single engine, constant speed, retractable tricycle undercarriage, land aeroplanes.
- Single engine, fixed pitch, fixed undercarriage tail wheel, land aeroplanes.
- Single engine, constant speed, fixed undercarriage tail wheel, land aeroplanes.

**Note:** Before carrying passengers or giving instruction in a single engine aeroplane of a similar configuration, a Category A flight instructor will need to meet the flight experience requirements of this provision as detailed in advisory circular AC61-10.

**Rule 61.11** Application and Qualification

**English Language Proficiency Requirements for all Pilot Licence Applicants**
Rule 61.11(b) requires an applicant for a pilot licence to have sufficient ability in reading, speaking, understanding and communicating in the English language to enable the applicant to adequately exercise the privileges of that licence.

The acceptable means of compliance with rule 61.11(b) by all applicants for the issue of an aeroplane or helicopter private pilot, commercial pilot or air transport pilot licence, is by demonstrating proficiency to at least Level 4 (Operational) of the ICAO Language Proficiency Rating Scale and the following tasks—

- communicate effectively in voice-only (radiotelephone) communications
- communicate on common, work-related topics with accuracy and clarity
- use appropriate communication to exchange messages and to recognize and resolve misunderstandings in a general or work-related context
- handle successfully, and with relative ease, the linguistic challenges presented by a complication or unexpected turn of events that occurs within the context of a routine work situation or communicative task with which they are otherwise familiar
- use a dialect or accent which is intelligible to the aeronautical community.

The foregoing requirement also applies to applicants for a higher aeroplane or helicopter pilot licence (e.g. a recreational pilot licence (RPL) holder applying for a private pilot licence (PPL) or PPL holder applying for a commercial pilot licence (CPL)) whose language proficiency has not been assessed.

Existing Licence Holders

As a result of their participation in the Mongolian civil aviation system, pilots who have been issued an aeroplane or helicopter licence under Part 61 before 8th May 2008, are considered to have demonstrated sufficient English language ability to adequately continue to exercise the privileges of that licence within the Mongolian flight information region. These persons do not need to be assessed unless they wish to have a language proficiency level endorsed on their licence.

Recreational pilot licence applicants do not need to be assessed.

If the Director believes on reasonable grounds that an aeroplane or a helicopter pilot licence holder’s English language proficiency is inadequate to safely exercise the privileges of that licence, the Director may, by notice in writing, require the holder to undertake a language proficiency assessment in accordance with rule 61.43(a).

Other ICAO licence holders who may be required to use a radiotelephone aboard an aircraft, such as glider or free balloon pilots, should have the ability to speak and understand English language but are not required to be assessed unless they wish to have a language proficiency level endorsed on their licence.

Language Proficiency Endorsement

Applicants for an aeroplane or helicopter private pilot, commercial pilot or air transport pilot licence or other ICAO recognized licence holders who wish to have a language proficiency level endorsed on their licence, must have demonstrated English language proficiency to at least Level 4 (Operational) in all language categories specified in the
ICAO Language Proficiency Rating Scale. Satisfactory evidence of such a demonstration is an assessment credit issued by a delegated service provider.

Applicants for the issue of a pilot licence will have their demonstrated language proficiency level endorsed on the new licence at no added charge. Existing licence holders who demonstrate language proficiency may, upon application to the Director and payment of the applicable licence amendment fee, have their language proficiency level endorsed on their licence.

Licences are endorsed as language proficiency level 4, 5 or 6 in accordance with the respective assessment credit. Language proficiency demonstration currency periods are as follows—

- Level 6 (Expert) valid for the lifetime of the holder of the pilot licence
- Level 5 (Extended) valid for six years from the date of assessment
- Level 4 (Operational) valid for three years from the date of assessment

A current language proficiency endorsement held by a person applies to all pilot and air traffic service licences held by that person. A person who holds a current language proficiency endorsement and who applies for a higher or different type of ICAO licence will have that language proficiency endorsed on the new licence for the remaining currency period.

Aeroplane or helicopter pilot licence holders who do not have evidence of having their language assessed may not have their licences accepted by other ICAO Contracting States. Those who intend to operate in foreign airspace are strongly recommended to obtain a language proficiency endorsement.

Language Proficiency Assessment

The language proficiency assessment is a demonstration of the ability to communicate in an aviation context. Therefore, all language proficiency candidates are expected to have a basic aviation awareness of at least the subject matter contained in the Private Pilot Licence theory syllabuses specified in advisory circular AC61-3, Appendix III.

Thus, as a prerequisite to undertake a language proficiency assessment, a person is to hold at least:

- a written examination credit for RPL, PPL, CPL Glider or CPL Balloon; or
- a Mongolian aeroplane or helicopter pilot licence; or
- a valid armed forces flight experience and qualifications assessment indicating that the person meets the criteria for issue of a Mongolian pilot licence; or
- a current foreign aeroplane or helicopter pilot licence.

To adequately cater for a wide variation in language proficiency levels, two forms of English language proficiency assessments are available and persons may undertake the assessment they consider most suitable—

1) Level 6 Proficiency Demonstration

The Level 6 Proficiency Demonstration is designed to confirm that native or very proficient non-native English speakers can clearly meet ICAO Level 6 language criteria. It is a relatively short semi-direct assessment delivered by telephone that confirms that
the speaker can communicate at level 6 for pronunciation, structure, vocabulary, and fluency. This assessment is suitable for pilots who are confident that they are able to communicate at Level 6 in all respects. The only outcomes are “Level 6” or, if a candidate does not clearly demonstrate Level 6 proficiency, “not determined”. The acceptable means of compliance with rule 61.11(b) for an applicant who does not clearly meet “Level 6” criteria on the first attempt is to complete a Formal Language Evaluation.

2) Formal Language Evaluation
The Formal Language Evaluation complies with all ICAO recommendations. It consists of a semi-direct assessment delivered by telephone to evaluate pronunciation, structure, vocabulary, fluency, and comprehension, followed by a brief direct telephone assessment, specifically to evaluate comprehension and interactions. This assessment may be taken by all pilots. The outcome is the overall level achieved plus the levels achieved in each language category.

All assessments are directly supervised by Conducting Officers under the control of a delegated service provider and candidates are to provide evidence of identity as specified by rule 61.17(a) for written examinations.

Language proficiency candidates who wish to dispute an overall rating may apply to the delegated service provider for a review of their language proficiency assessment.

ICAO Language Proficiency Rating Scale
The Language Proficiency Rating Scale in Appendix 1 to this advisory circular is extracted from Annex 1 to the Convention on International Civil Aviation. The overall proficiency rating is determined by the lowest rating level assigned in any particular category.

The Level 4 (Operational) descriptors are the safest minimum proficiency skill level determined necessary for aeronautical radiotelephony communications and represent the minimum required for a language proficiency level to be endorsed on a licence.

Rule 61.17 Written Examinations—Prerequisites and Grades

Acceptable Means of Identification
All means of identification must be current and valid. The types of photographic identification that are acceptable to the Director for purposes of rule 61.17(a)(3) are:

- a Mongolian or foreign passport; or
- photographic identity cards issued by the Mongolian Defence Force, Mongolian Police or the Mongolian Fire Service’ or
- CAA Airport Identity Card’ or
- Mongolian Fire Arms Licence’ or
- statutory declaration of photographic identity.
Qualifying Period for gaining Written Examination Credit

The qualifying period for obtaining a written examination credit commences from the date on which confirmation of the first examination pass is given and expires on the confirmation date of the last examination pass.

Instead a written examination report from authorized organization detailing passes in all the prescribed subjects within the applicable qualifying period is sufficient evidence for the purposes of rule 61.17(c).

The written examination credit has an expiry date as set out in rule 61.17(d), after which period the applicable written examinations must be taken again. This is to maintain the currency of the applicant’s theory knowledge which is equally important to the practical flying skills.

NOTE: It is important that applicants plan their study and written examination sittings to meet these qualifying and validity periods.

RPL/PPL/CPL and Instrument Rating Exam Credit.

The concept of the RPL/PPL, CPL and I/R exam system is that a RPL/PPL, CPL or I/R written examination credit gained within the three year qualifying period prescribed in rule 61.17(c), is valid for three years from the date the last subject was passed.

However, at the time application is made for the issue of a RPL/PPL, CPL or I/R, the pass held for CPL or I/R air law as applicable, must not be more than five years old.

If the RPL/PPL, CPL or I/R law is more than five years old, the applicant will be required to gain a new pass in law to ensure that their written credit remains valid. In such cases, the original written examination credit expiry date will not be extended but remains the same as the original date.

ATPL Exam Credit.

The concept of the ATPL exam system is that an ATPL written examination credit gained within the three year qualifying period prescribed in rule 61.17(c), is valid for ten years from the date the last subject was passed.

However, at the time application is made for the issue of an ATPL, the pass held for ATPL air law, must not be more than five years old.

If the ATPL law pass is more than five years old, the applicant will be required to gain a new pass in the subject to ensure that their written credit remains valid. In such cases, the original written examination credit expiry date will not be extended but remains the same as the original date.

Rule 61.21 Flight Tests

Knowledge Deficiency Reports (KDR)

A KDR is issued by authorized organization on completion of a written examination detailing syllabus references for all incorrectly answered questions. A person who
wishes to undertake a flight test must produce all relevant KDRs to the flight examiner containing evidence of knowledge improvement that has been certified by a Category A or B flight instructor. The most reliable way in which to provide evidence of remedial action is for the student to research the subject and provide the flight instructor with written answers relating to the deficiency. This record should be retained by the pilot and submitted with the application for licence issue.

KDR references may be found in the applicable advisory circular under the examination syllabus appendices. Each KDR number includes the subject number, topic and item number.

For archived syllabuses refer to the CAA website at http://www.mcaa.gov.mn -“Pilot Syllabus Assistance.”

**NOTE:** For those applicants who achieved a score of 100% for a written examination, there will not be any KDR entries to be certified by a flight instructor.

**Validity of Flight Test**

A flight test is only valid for three months [rule 61.21(b)]. Pilots are advised to apply for the issue of their licence or rating within this period. Failure to do so would mean that the flight test must be completed again.

**Rule 61.25 Flight Training and Testing—General Requirements**

**Acceptable Means of Simulating Instrument Flight in VMC**

The use of a hood, foggles or screens that prevent peripheral external visual reference to the pilot are acceptable to the Director as means of simulating instrument flight in VMC for the purposes of rule 61.25(c)(2). This includes the recording of flight time in which instrument conditions are simulated but does not include take off, landing or visual manoeuvring time.

**Rule 61.29 Pilot Logbooks—General Requirements**

**Recording of Flight Time**

Where several flights are conducted on the same date, where the departure, intermediate landing point, and place of arrival are the same, then they can be summarised into one entry. This covers topdressing loads, glider tows, parachute loads, helicopter operations for the purposes of rule 61.29(c)(2)(iii).

For example—

21-7-04 AS350 HGO Self Heliski Treble Cone 3.8 Hours

Rule 61.29(e) provides for the correction of a logbook entry. **Correcting fluid (e.g. twink), stickers or labels do not meet this rule requirement.**

**Note:** Flight time is defined in Part 1 – Definitions and Abbreviations.
Rule 61.35 Medical Requirement

Medical Certificates

A person may not exercise the privileges of their licence if they do not hold the appropriate, current medical certificate. There are a number of medical conditions that will cause either a temporary or permanent change in a person’s health or fitness that renders them unfit to fly. The provisions detailing the requirements in this regard are set out in Civil Aviation Rule Part 67. Specific details relating to the reporting of changes in medical conditions are also set out in the Medical General Directions issued by the Director. All these documents may be viewed on the CAA website at http://www.mcaa.gov.mn – Medical Certification.

The completed Mongolian Transport Agency (MTA) medical form required for the issue or maintenance of a recreational pilot licence is not recognised as a medical certificate by the Director under the rules for the purposes of Part 67. The issue of a medical certificate requires a medical examination to be conducted by a medical practitioner with reference to the document issued by the Director of Land Transport entitled: “Medical Aspects of Fitness to Drive: A Guide for Medical Practitioners”.

Rule 61.37 Recent Flight Experience

Appropriately Qualified Flight Instructors

The rule does not define what qualifications are considered appropriate for flight instructors assessing competence in take-off or landing manoeuvres under rule 61.37(a)(1)(iii). However it is recommended that such instructors hold a Category A, B or D flight instructor rating.

Rule 61.39 Biennial Flight Review

Obligation to complete Biennial Flight Review

A Biennial Flight Review (BFR) is a flight or a series of flights where the manoeuvres and procedures applicable to the pilot licence privileges are reviewed. During these flights the flight instructor is pilot in command and the flights are dual flight instruction for the purpose of log book entries.

The BFR is based on the flight test requirements for the applicable licence. Because the BFR is conducted as dual over as many flights as it takes to achieve competence there are no optional components. The BFR will continue, and may be recorded in the pilot’s logbook as flight instruction, until the flight instructor is satisfied that they can sign the flight review off in the pilot’s logbook as having been satisfactorily completed to the licence level for which the applicant wishes to exercise privileges. For more details, see next section "Use of lower pilot licence or rating".

Where a pilot holds licences for more than one category of aircraft (aeroplane and helicopter for example), a BFR is required for each category on which the pilot wishes to
remain current. Because a BFR is a dual exercise, the instructor concerned must hold a type rating for the aircraft being used for the BFR.

**CAUTION:** If the licence holder does not meet the currency requirements of rule 61.39, the holder may only exercise student pilot privileges.

### Rule 61.41 Use of Lower Pilot Licence or Rating

**Exercising the Privileges of a Lower Licence or Rating**

The use of lower privileges is allowed by the rules in specified circumstances. An example of how this works in practice is as follows: The holder of an ATPL or CPL whose Class 1 medical certificate has expired may, subject to satisfactory completion of the appropriate BFR, continue to exercise the privileges of a PPL (if a valid and current Class 2 medical certificate is still held). If the Class 2 medical certificate expires, then PPL privileges can no longer be exercised, but a pilot may still fly dual in accordance with Part 61, Subpart C, and may still undergo any BFR while flying dual.

The holder of an ATPL, SCPL, CPL or a PPL may only exercise the privileges of an RPL if an RPL has been issued in accordance with rule 61.355(b) and the holder meets the currency requirements of rule 61.361.

In addition, where a licence holder who has not met the requirements of rule 61.39 for a period of five years or more, wishes to exercise the privileges of a lower licence under rule 61.37(i), they are still required to re-sit the air law examination appropriate to the licence that they hold.

For example, regardless of the fact that they may only wish to exercise the privileges of a lower licence, an ATPL holder in this situation who wishes to exercise CPL or PPL privileges, must pass an ATPL air law exam.
# Appendix 1  ICAO Language Proficiency Rating Scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Comprehension</th>
<th>Fluency</th>
<th>Vocabulary</th>
<th>Pronunciation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expert</td>
<td>Basic grammatical structures and sentence patterns are used well controlled. Complex structures are attempted with errors but without errors which interfere with meaning.</td>
<td>Comprehension is consistently accurate on common, concrete, and work-related topics. When the accent or variety used interferes with ease of understanding, Paraphrases accurately and effectively on common, concrete, or work-related topics.</td>
<td>Able to speak at length with a predictable tempo. There may be occasional loss of fluency on transition from common, concrete, or work-related topics.</td>
<td>Vocabulary range and accuracy are sufficient to communicate effectively on familiar topics.</td>
<td>Pronunciation, stress, rhythm, and intonation are well controlled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-operational</td>
<td>Basic grammatical structures and sentence patterns are used inconsistently. Simple structures are used well controlled. Complex structures are attempted with errors which interfere with meaning.</td>
<td>Comprehension is not consistently accurate on common, concrete, or work-related topics. When the accent or variety used interferes with ease of understanding, paraphrases successfully in an inappropriate or related context.</td>
<td>Able to speak at length with a predictable tempo. There may be occasional loss of fluency on transition from common, concrete, or work-related topics.</td>
<td>Vocabulary range is limited and the words used are often inappropriate.</td>
<td>Pronunciation, stress, rhythm, and intonation are influenced by the first language or regional variation and frequently interfere with understanding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>Basic grammatical structures and sentence patterns are used irregularly. Simple structures are used consistently. Complex structures are attempted with errors which interfere with meaning.</td>
<td>Comprehension is inconsistent and occasionally inaccurate on common, concrete, or work-related topics.</td>
<td>Able to speak at length with a predictable tempo. There may be occasional loss of fluency on transition from common, concrete, or work-related topics.</td>
<td>Vocabulary is sometimes limited and the words used are often inappropriate.</td>
<td>Pronunciation, stress, rhythm, and intonation are influenced by the first language or regional variation and frequently interfere with understanding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-elementary</td>
<td>Basic grammatical structures and sentence patterns are used irregularly. Simple structures are used inconsistently. Complex structures are attempted with errors which interfere with meaning.</td>
<td>Comprehension is not consistent and frequently inaccurate on common, concrete, or work-related topics.</td>
<td>Able to speak at length with a predictable tempo. There may be occasional loss of fluency on transition from common, concrete, or work-related topics.</td>
<td>Vocabulary is limited and the words used are often inappropriate.</td>
<td>Pronunciation, stress, rhythm, and intonation are heavily influenced by the first language or regional variation and frequently interfere with understanding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary</td>
<td>Basic grammatical structures and sentence patterns are used irregularly. Simple structures are used inconsistently. Complex structures are attempted with errors which interfere with meaning.</td>
<td>Comprehension is not consistent and frequently inaccurate on common, concrete, or work-related topics.</td>
<td>Able to speak at length with a predictable tempo. There may be occasional loss of fluency on transition from common, concrete, or work-related topics.</td>
<td>Vocabulary is limited and the words used are often inappropriate.</td>
<td>Pronunciation, stress, rhythm, and intonation are heavily influenced by the first language or regional variation and frequently interfere with understanding.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>